

## Carter, Problem 2-7

Here's the Dieterici equation of state:

```
In [54]: # Gotcha's: make sure you declare variables and use * everywhere there is a multiplication
P,v,T, a,b,R=var('P v T a b R')

# Define the r.h.s. of the equation of state as an "expression"
Dieterici=(R*T/(v-b))*exp(-a/(R*T*v))
show(Dieterici)

Out[54]: -RTE(-a/RTv)
           -----
                  b - v
```

The critical point occurs at unique values of T, v and P such that

1. The Dieterici equation of state is satisfied,
2.  $(\partial P/\partial v)_T = 0$ , the slope of the P-V curve is 0 at the critical point, and
3.  $(\partial^2 P/\partial v^2)_T = 0$ , the concavity of the P-V curve is 0 at the critical point,

The values that simultaneously solve these three equations are  $(P, T, v) = (P_c, T_c, v_c)$ .

### First approach

Define the three equations, and solve them simultaneously. What could be simpler??

```
In [55]: # First equation - the equation of state
eq1 = P==Dieterici
show(eq1)

Out[55]: P = -RTE(-a/RTv)
           -----
                  b - v
```

```
In [56]: # Second equation - first derivative of P wrt V == 0:
firstderiv = diff(Dieterici,v)
eq2 = firstderiv==0
show(eq2)

Out[56]: -RTE(-a/RTv) - ae(-a/RTv)
           -----
                  (b - v)2 - (b - v)v2 = 0
```

```
In [57]: # Third equation - second derivative of P wrt V == 0
secondderiv = diff(firstderiv,v)
eq3 = secondderiv == 0
show(eq3)

Out[57]: - 2 RTE(-a/RTv) + 2 ae(-a/RTv) - 2 ae(-a/RTv) - a2e(-a/RTv)
           -----
                  (b - v)3 + (b - v)v3 - (b - v)2v2 - RT(b - v)v4 = 0
```

```
In [58]: solve([eq1,eq2,eq3], P, v, T)

-----
TypeError                                 Traceback (most recent call last)
<ipython-input-58-f650b6e88ba3> in <module>()
----> 1 solve([eq1,eq2,eq3], P, v, T)

/ext/sage/sage-8.3_1804/local/lib/python2.7/site-packages/sage/symbolic/relation
.pyc in solve(f, *args, **kwds)
    1133         s = []
    1134
-> 1135     sol_list = string_to_list_of_solutions(repr(s))
    1136
    1137     # Relaxed form suggested by Mike Hansen (#8553):

/ext/sage/sage-8.3_1804/local/lib/python2.7/site-packages/sage/symbolic/relation
.pyc in string_to_list_of_solutions(s)
    578         from sage.structure.sequence import Sequence
    579         from sage.calculus.calculus import symbolic_expression_from_maxima_s
tring
--> 580     v = symbolic_expression_from_maxima_string(s, equals_sub=True)
    581     return Sequence(v, universe=Objects(), cr_str=True)
    582

/ext/sage/sage-8.3_1804/local/lib/python2.7/site-packages/sage/calculus/calculus
.pyc in symbolic_expression_from_maxima_string(x, equals_sub, maxima)
    2157         _augmented_syms = {}
    2158     except SyntaxError:
-> 2159         raise TypeError("unable to make sense of Maxima expression '%s'
in Sage"%s)
    2160     finally:
    2161         is_simplified = False

TypeError: unable to make sense of Maxima expression '[if(union(if(_SAGE_VAR_a!=
0,(e^2*_SAGE_VAR_b!=0)and(4*e^2*_SAGE_VAR_b^4!=0)and(4*e^2*_SAGE_VAR_a*_SAGE_VAR
_b^6!=0),union()),if(_SAGE_VAR_a!=0,(_SAGE_VAR_v*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SA
GE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_v))/_SAGE_VAR_b*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE
_VAR_v))!=0)and(_SAGE_VAR_v^4*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR
_v))-2*_SAGE_VAR_b*_SAGE_VAR_v^3*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VA
R_v))+_SAGE_VAR_b^2*_SAGE_VAR_v^2*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VA
R_v))!=0)and(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_v^7*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R
*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_v))-3*_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_b*_SAGE_VAR_v^6*e
^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_v))+3*_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE
_VAR_b^2*_SAGE_VAR_v^5*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_v))-
_SAGE_VAR_R*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_b^3*_SAGE_VAR_v^4*e^(_SAGE_VAR_a/(_SAGE_VAR_R
*_SAGE_VAR_T*_SAGE_VAR_v))!=0),union()),if(_SAGE_VAR_a!=0,[_SAGE_VAR_P==(e^(2*I
*pi*z137-2)*_SAGE_VAR_a)/(4*_SAGE_VAR_b^2)],union()),union())]' in Sage
```

**Rats!** This doesn't work! Somehow it's too complicated for SageMath!

But notice that  $\text{eq2}$  and  $\text{eq3}$  do not involve  $P$  at all. Perhaps we can solve those 2 for  $T$  and  $v$ , and then substitute those values back into  $\text{eq1}$  to get  $P$ . We could also divide out the exponential factor in  $\text{eq2}$  and  $\text{eq3}$  to make those a bit easier to solve. ...This works!

But in the meantime, I accidentally found **an even easier approach**: If you just give the variables  $P$ ,  $v$ , and  $T$  to `solve(...)` **in a different order**, with  $P$  last, it will work right away! Like this...

```
In [59]: solve([eq1,eq2,eq3], v, T, P)
Out[59]: [[v == b, T == 0, P == r24], [v == 2*b, T == 1/4*a/(R*b), P == 1/4*a*e^(-2)/b^2]]
```

The first solution, with  $T = 0$ , and  $v = b$  (where  $(v - b)$  is in the denominator!) Doesn't sound too attractive.

The second solution is what we want, and matches the solution that Carter gives:

$$v_c = 2b; \quad T_c = \frac{a}{4Rb}; \quad P_c = \frac{a}{4b^2} e^{-2}.$$

Finally, we wish to calculate

$$RT_c/(P_c v_c)$$

```
In [65]: vc=2*b
Tc=a/(4*R*b)
Pc=(a/(4*b^2))*e^(-2)

simplify(R*Tc/(Pc*vc))
```

```
Out[65]: 1/2*e^2
```

```
In [66]: # evaluate the numerical value of the previous cell
n(_)
```

```
Out[66]: 3.69452804946533
```

3.6945: This is in the middle of the values in the table of problem 2-6, and close to the value for  $CO_2$ ! And interestingly, that value is independent of the values of  $a$  and  $b$ .